(b) if so, what are the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE MINISTRY OF INFORMATION AND BROADCASTING (SHRI V. N. GADGIL): (a) No, Sir.

(b) Does not arise.

Properties of Wakf Board

562. DR. MOHD. HASHIM KIDWAI: Will the Minister of WELFARE be pleased to state:

(d) by when the Waqf property of the Waqfs in the country, Statewise;

(b) the names of the States where Waqf Boards have not been established so far;

(c) the names of the States where the Waqf houses and shops are exempt from the rent Act; and

(d) by when the Waqf property will be exempted from the rent Act in the other States?

THE DEPUTY MINISTER IN THE MINISTRY OF WELFARE (SHRI GI-RDHAR GOMANGO): (a) The information is being collected and will be laid on the Table of the House.

(b) Wakf Boards have not so far been established in the following States/Union territories:—

States:—Gujarat (except Kutch Area)* Maharashtra (except Marathwada Region)*, Manipur, Nagaland and Sikkim.

♦NOTE:—The jurisdiction of Kutch Wakf Board in Gujarat and Marathwada Wakf Board in Maharashtra is limited to their respective regions because the Wakf Act, 1954 has not been enforced in the remaining parts of the two States.-

Union Territories:—Arunachal Pra. desh, Dadra & Nagar Haveli, Goa, Daman & Diu and Mizoram.

(c) A statement *(See* below) indicating the names of States which have so far exempted wakf properties from the operation of their respective Rent Control Acts as also the extent to which this exemption has been granted is attached.

(d) All State Governments were requested to consider giving such exemption. Since this is a matter primarily within their jurisdiction no date can be indicated.

Statement

1. Andhra Pradesh
2. Bihar
3. Haryana
4. Punjab
5. Rajasthan
6. Tamil Nadu
*7. Kerala
**8. Karnataka
***9. Maharashtra

♦Exempted the buildings owned by the Wakfs registered under Wakf Act, 1954 with the Kerala Wakf Board.

*'Exempted properties managed by Karnataka Board of Wakfs.

♦♦♦Exempted property of the Marathwada Wakf Board, Aurangabad.

TJank loans and subsidies for 'Q' mark oil engines

563. SHRI CHIMANBHAI MEHTA: Will the Minister of WATER RESOURCES be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government of Gujarat, Maharashtra, Punjab and Haryana have asked for continuation of facility of Bank loans and subsidies by the State Governments for 'Q' mark oil-engines and pump sets used for irrigation in agriculture;

(b) whether 'Q' mark engines are cheaper to I.S.I, mark engines; if so, what is the price difference bet-

ween I.S.I, mark engines manufactured by Kirloskars and 'Q' mark engines manufactured in Rajkot; and

(e) whether it is a fact that Government of Gujarat have represented to Central Government that 'Q' mask pump sets are no way inferior to I.S.I, mark pump sets; if so, the reasons for Which the Department is still insisting on incorrect assumption pf higher efficiency of I.S.I. mark compared to 'Q' mark when latter is much cheaper for the purpose of subsidy and loan facilities made available to the buyers of pump sets and the refusal of the same facilities for 'Q' mark?

THE MINISTER OF WATER RESOURCES (SHRI B. SHANKARANAND): (a) Recently Governments of Gujarat and Maharashtra had requested for continuation of facilities of Bank loans and subsidies for 'Q' mark oil-engines and pumpsets upto December, 1986.

(b) Some of the prices as obtained from the State Government and ISI for 'Q' mark and ISI mark engines including the price for Kirloskar engines are given in the enclosed Statement *(See below).*

(c) Though the Government of Gujarat have informed that 'Q' mark pumpsets, are not inferior to ISI mark ones, the Working Groups c .instituted by the National Level Standing Committee for Improving the Operational Efficiency of Irrigation Pumpsets have recommended that loans and refinance be provided only to the energy efficient pumping systems with ISI mark and financing for Quality mark pumpsets should be done away within a phased manner.

Statement

Some prices as obtained from the different State Governments and is incduding Kirloskar

	'Q' mark manufactured in Rajkot			I.S.I.	
	Gujarat	Maharashtra	Punjab	others	Kiriloskar
				(Amount in Rs.)	
Lister Type					
(i) 6 H.P.	3100	—	5300	3200 to 6700	
(ii) 8 H.P.	3100	—	5450	3200 to 7200	
(iii) 10 H.P.	3900	—	5750	3800 to 7800	
Peter Type :					
(i) 5 H.P.	2800	3000to 4000	2700 to 4800	2900 to 6000	5380
(ii) 6. 5 H.P.	3000	—	2900 to 4850	2900 to 6600	—
(iii) 7.00 H.P.	—	—	—	—	6700

Super mini-steel plants in the private sector

564. PROF. C. LAKSHMANNA: Vill the Minister of STEEL AND 1INES be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that a umber of proposals for setting up jmposite super mini-steel plants om several private sector units have ;en pending for some time; and

(b) if so, what are the details thereof and the reasons for the delay?

THE MINISTER OF STEEL AND MINES (SHRI K. C. PANT): (*) r.nd (b) A number of proposals for setting up of composite mini steel plants were received and they have bc;.n prima facie rejected as they are not covered under the existing guidelines of industrial licensing. Some